

# Factors influencing Outcome of Extradural Hematoma in a Tertiary Care Hospital of Dera Ghazi Khan, Pakistan

Liagat Jalal<sup>1</sup>, Atta-ur-Rehman Khan<sup>1</sup>, Muhammad Shaukat Farooq<sup>1</sup> and Muhammad Tahir Nouman<sup>2</sup>

1. Dera Ghazi Khan Medical College, Dera Ghazi Khan, Pakistan 2. DHQ Hospital Layyah, Pakistan

\*Correspondence: liaqatjalal786@gmail .com

#### **Keywords:**

Extradural Hematoma, Outcome, Factors

doi: 10.37978/tijfs.v5i1.309

Submitted: July 1, 2020 Accepted: July 27, 2020 Published Online: November 1, 2020

How to cite this: Jalal, L., Khan, A., Farooq, M.S. and Nouman, M.T. Factors influencing outcome of extradural hematoma in a tertiary care hospital, Dera Ghazi Khan, Pakistan. Int j Front Sci, 5(1).



This article is open access under terms of Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0. which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium provided the original work is cited properly.

## **Significance:**

Pakistan is developing country undergoing major economic and demographic transition coupled with increasing urbanization and motorization. Head injury is among the leading causes of mortality in the country. In young adults head injury is the leading cause of mortality Some of the factors that increase the risk of road traffic accidents are unsafe traffic environment, poor road infrastructure and encroachments that restrict safe area for pedestrian's lack of safety engineering measures, traffic mix and an increasing number of motorized vehicles. Identifying factors is very crucial for policy making in this particular matter.

#### ABSTRACT

Background: The outcome for this neurosurgical problem is still far from set target in many developing countries like Pakistan. Major proportion of cases presenting with EDH in hospital still has poor outcome. This poor outcome of EDH is attributed to many factors including weak health systems of most developing countries. Objective of study was to analyze factors influencing outcome among patients of head injury with an extradural hematoma before surgery admitted in neurosurgery department of Dera Ghazi Khan Medical College, Dera Ghazi Khan.

Materials and Methods: This cross-sectional analytical study was conducted in neurosurgery department of Dera Ghazi Khan Medical College from January 2019 to December 2019 after ethical approval. All the patients with extradural hematoma of either gender admitted in the department during the study duration in which surgery was performed to evacuate extradural hematoma were included in the study. Data was collected by using preformed, pretested questionnaire. A vital signs and Glasgow coma scale record was maintained at thirty minutes interval. Computerized tomography was done in every patient. The EDH volume was calculated by using Peterson and Epperson equation a x b x c x 0.5. Data was entered and analyzed by using SPSS version 22. Chi square test was applied to observe any statistically significant difference between various strata if existed and p value < 0.05 was taken as significant.

**Results:** Total 237 patients with Extradural Hematoma (EDH) were admitted in neurosurgery department during the study period were included in study. More than half 136 (57.4%) patients were more or equal to the age of 18 years. Majority of the patients 218 (91.9%) in the study

were male. Major cause of extradural hematoma among patients in this study was road traffic accident 154 (64.9%). The outcome of EDH was found to be significantly (p <0.001) associated with age of patients. More than ninety percent of the patients who were directly admitted to tertiary care hospital has good outcome as compared to 109 (60.2%) patients which were referred and difference in outcome was statistically significant (p<0.001). The volume of EDH is not significantly associated with the outcome (p=0.090). The GSC score of the patients at the time of admission is significantly associated with the outcome (p<0.001). Study findings showed that GCS score of the patients at the time of surgery was also found to be significantly associated with outcome of EDH (p<0.001).

**Conclusion:** There is a strong association of outcome in extradural hematoma with age, gender and GCS of the patient. In higher GCS the outcome was excellent but in low GCS the outcome was poor.

#### Introduction

Pakistan is developing country undergoing major economic and demographic transition coupled with increasing urbanization and motorization. Head injury is among the leading causes of mortality in the country. In young adults head injury is the leading cause of mortality. Some of the factors that increase the risk of road traffic accidents are unsafe traffic environment, poor road infrastructure and encroachments that restrict safe area for pedestrian's lack of safety engineering measures, traffic mix and an increasing number of motorized vehicles. Unsafe driving behavior and lack of valid driving licenses or fake driving licenses (1, 2). One of the leading causes of death and disability is head injury. Mortality rate after head injury vary from 10-40% and is an index of alertness and efficiency of health care and hospital setup in a country (3-6).

Risk factors of poor outcome in extradural hematoma(EDH) include old age, intradural lesions, temporal location, increased hematoma volume, rapid clinical progression, pupillary abnormalities, increased intracranial pressure (ICP) and low Glasgow coma scale (GCS) (7). Most significant factors associated with unfavorable outcome of EDH are advanced age, lower GCS, and higher EDH volume. Many reports on extradural hematoma have drawn attention to avoidable factors implicated in preoperative deterioration, such as more time spent in transportation to the hospital and late

diagnosis, but less consideration has been given to the specific factors that influence the outcome of patients who arrive comatose in the operating room. EDH still remains a serious neurological condition (8,9,10).

Extradural hematomas (EDH) accounts for 2.7-4% of traumatic brain injuries (TBI) and majority of the reported cases are in second or third decade of their life (11,12). Extradural hematoma (EDH) life threatening lesion in neurological surgery was killing at least four of five patients previously but in the modern era of computed brain imaging which is affording prompt, precise diagnosis in the trauma patients, EDH has become one of the disease conditions that may truly be called the delight of a neurosurgeon. Outcome from timely neurosurgical intervention is so fairly assured that a target of zero mortality has been set for it (13-16). Unfortunately, the outcome for this neurosurgical problem is still far from set target in many developing countries like Pakistan. Major proportion of cases presenting with EDH in hospital still has poor outcome. This poor outcome of EDH is attributed to many factors including weak health systems of most developing countries (9). This study was designed to investigate the factors influencing the outcome of EDH among patients admitted in neurosurgery department of Dera Ghazi Khan Medical College, Dera Ghazi Khan, Punjab Pakistan.

## **Materials and Methods**

This cross-sectional analytical study was conducted in neurosurgery department of Dera Ghazi Khan Medical College, Dera Ghazi Khan from January 2019 to December 2019 after ethical approval from hospital ethical committee. All the patients with extradural hematoma of either gender admitted in the department during the study duration in which surgery was performed to evacuate extradural hematoma were included in the study while those with post-operative hematoma were excluded from the study. A detailed history and clinical examination was carried out in every patient. Data was collected by using preformed, pretested questionnaire. A special effort was made to obtain the data regarding mode of injury and means of transportation used to reach the Hospital. The classical signs of extradural hematoma like deterioration of conscious level, pupil size difference and hemiparesis were checked. A vital signs and Glasgow coma scale record was maintained at thirty minutes interval. Computerized tomography was done in every patient. The EDH volume was calculated by using Peterson and Epperson equation axbxcx0.5, where a, b and c represents diameter of the hematoma in sagittal, axial and coronal planes respectively. Surgery was performed in all the cases with EDH thickness  $\geq 1.5$  cm, midline shift of equal or more than 5mm and hematoma volume 25 ml or more in supratentorial and 10ml or more in infratentorial compartment, and in the patients with focal neurological deficits such as limb weakness, unequal pupils, sign of herniation (decerebrate posture) and deterioration of GCS (2 or more points decrease in GCS score from that of admission). Dependent variable of the study was outcome of EDH. The variable was categorized into two groups, good outcome = good recovery and moderate disability, and bad outcome = severe disability, vegetative state and death. The Independent variables wereage, gender, type of admission, blood loss and GCS score before surgery.

Data was entered and analyzed by using SPSS version 22. Variables included in the analysis were age, gender, occupation, site of EDH, associated other intracranial or extra cranial injury and severity of injury by using GCS. Chi square test was applied to observe any statistically significant difference between various strata if existed and p value <0.05 was taken as significant.

#### **Results:**

Total 237 patients with Extradural Hematoma (EDH) were admitted in neurosurgery department during the study period. More than half 136 (57.4%) patients were more or equal to the age of 18 years. Majority of the patients 218 (91.9%) were male. Only 56 (23.6%) patients with extradural hematoma were directly admitted to teaching hospital and 76.4% were referred to teaching hospital. Major cause of extradural hematoma among patients was road traffic accident 154 (64.9%) followed by assault 47 (19.8%). At the time of admission 174 (73.4%) patients had GCS between 9-15 and before surgery GCS score of 177 (74.7%) patients was between 9-15.

Outcome of EDH in about half of the patients 48 (47.5%) with less than 18 years age was bad while 107 (78.8%) patients with equal to or more than 18 years age is good. Among male patients 153 (70.2%) had good outcome. The outcome of EDH was good among 51 (91.1%) patients who were directly admitted to tertiary care hospital as compared to 109 (60.2%) in referred cases. The cross tabulation of outcome with causes of EDH showed that 119 (77.3%) patients of road traffic accidents had good outcome followed by 25 (53.3%) assault and 16 (44.4%) other causes. The bad outcome of EDH was observed among 11(22.4%) patients in which volume of extradural hematoma was less than 30 ml. The outcome of EDH was bad among 46 (73.1%) patients in which GCS score at the time of admission was between 3-8 while 141 (81.1%) patients with GCS between 9-15 at the time of admission had good outcome. Similarly 80% patients with GCS score between 3-8 at the time surgery had bad outcome (Table-III).

Table I: Characteristics of the patients with EDH

| Variables             | Frequency Percentage (%) |       |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------|
| Age                   | ()                       | (14)  |
| <18 years             | 101                      | 42.6% |
| ≥ 18 years            | 136                      | 57.4% |
| Gender                |                          |       |
| Male                  | 218                      | 91.9% |
| Female                | 019                      | 08.1% |
| Admission             |                          |       |
| Direct                | 056                      | 23.6% |
| Referral              | 181                      | 76.4% |
| Cause of EDH          |                          |       |
| Road traffic accident | 154                      | 64.9% |
| Assault               | 47                       | 19.8% |
| Others                | 36                       | 15.3% |
| Volume of EDH         |                          |       |
| < 30 ml               | 49                       | 20.7% |
| > 30 ml               | 188                      | 79.3% |
| GCS score at the time |                          |       |
| of admission          |                          |       |
| 3-8                   | 63                       | 26.6% |
| 9-15                  | 174                      | 73.4% |
| GCS score before      |                          |       |
| surgery               | 60                       | 25.3% |
| 3-8                   | 177                      | 74.7% |
| 9-15                  |                          |       |

Table II: Location of EDH among patients

| Location         | Frequency (n) | Percentage (%) |  |  |
|------------------|---------------|----------------|--|--|
| Temporal         | 98            | 41.3%          |  |  |
| Frontal          | 51            | 21.5%          |  |  |
| Parietal         | 42            | 17.7%          |  |  |
| Temporo-parietal | 35            | 14.8%          |  |  |
| Occipital        | 09            | 03.8%          |  |  |
| Posterior Fossa  | 02            | 00.9%          |  |  |
| Total            | 237           | 100%           |  |  |

## Discussion

More than half of the patients of EDH with causes other than road traffic accidents and assault had bad outcome. The study findings revealed that volume of EDH is not significantly associated with the outcome (p=0.090) which is comparable with findings of many other studies in which no meaningful relationship between the volume EDH with outcome was noted (17, 18).

The findings of the study showed that GSC score of the patients at the time of admission is significantly associated with the outcome (p<0.001). The similar findings were noted in the study conducted by Ndoumbe A et al. in which GCS score at the time of admission was strongly predictive for good or poor outcome (19). In this study GCS score of the patients at the time of surgery was also found to be significantly associated with outcome of EDH (p<0.001)Which is consistent with the findings of Khan MB et al in which they observed that time since trauma and surgery were directly related to outcomes and

that surgical delay beyond 12 hours resulted in statistically significant worse outcomes (20).

Table III: Characteristics of the patients with EDH and their outcome

| Variables                          | Frequency | Outcome |         | p      |
|------------------------------------|-----------|---------|---------|--------|
|                                    |           | Good    | Bad     | value  |
| Age                                |           |         |         |        |
| <18 years                          | 101       | 53      | 48      | < 0.00 |
|                                    |           | (52.5%) | (47.5%) | 1      |
| ≥ 18 years                         | 136       | 107     | 29      |        |
|                                    |           | (78.8%) | (21.2%) |        |
| Gender                             |           |         |         |        |
| Male                               | 218       | 153     | 65      | 0.002  |
|                                    |           | (70.2%) | (39.8%) |        |
| Female                             | 019       | 07      | 12      |        |
|                                    |           | (36.8%) | (63.2%) |        |
| Admission                          |           |         |         |        |
| Direct                             | 056       | 51      | 05      | < 0.00 |
|                                    |           | (91.1%) | (08.9%) | 1      |
| Referral                           | 181       | 109     | 72      |        |
|                                    |           | (60.2%) | (39.8%) |        |
| Cause of ED                        |           |         |         |        |
| Road traffic                       | 154       | 119     | 35      |        |
| accident                           |           | (77.3%) | (22.7%) | < 0.00 |
|                                    | 0.45      |         |         | 1      |
| Assault                            | 047       | 25      | 22      |        |
| 0.1                                | 026       | (53.2%) | (46.8%) |        |
| Others                             | 036       | 16      | 20      |        |
| Volume of E                        | יחם       | (44.4%) | (55.6%) |        |
| < 30 ml                            | 049       | 38      | 11      | 0.090  |
| < 50 III                           | 047       | (77.6%) | (22.4%) | 0.070  |
| > 30 ml                            | 188       | 122     | 66      |        |
| , 50 1111                          | 100       | (64.9%) | (35.1%) |        |
| GCS score at the time of admission |           |         |         |        |
| 3-8                                | 063       | 17      | 46      |        |
|                                    |           | (26.9%) | (73.1%) | < 0.00 |
| 9-15                               | 174       | 141     | 33      | 1      |
|                                    |           | (81.1%) | (18.9%) |        |
| GCS before surgery                 |           |         |         |        |
| 3-8                                | 070       | 14      | 56      | < 0.00 |
|                                    |           | (20.0%) | (80.0%) | 1      |
| 9-15                               | 167       | 146     | 21      |        |
|                                    |           | (87.4%) | (12.6%) |        |

## Conclusion

There is a strong association of outcome in extradural hematoma with age, gender and GCS of the patient. The outcome of extradural hematoma is affected by GCS at the time of admission. In higher GCS the outcome excellent but in low GCS the outcome is poor.

Conflict of interest: Authors do not have any conflict of interest to declare.

Disclosure: None

**Human/Animal Rights:** No human or animal rights are violated during this study.

#### References

- 1. Husain M, Ojha BK, Chandra A, Singh A, Singh G, Chugh A, Rastogi M, Singh K. Contralateral motor deficit in extradural hematoma: Analysis of 35 patients. Indian J Neurotrauma. 2007;4(1):41-44. http://medind.nic.in/icf/t07/i1/icft07i1p41.pdf
- 2. Khan MJ, Shaukat A, Khalid M, Aziz MA. Surgical management and outcome analysis of extradural hematoma at combined military hospital Rawalpindi. Pakistan Armed Forces Med J. 2009;59(1):1-4. https://pafmj.org/index.php/PAFMJ/article/view/1633
- 3. WHO Global Health Observatory: World Health Statistics. 2013. Available from: <a href="http://www.who.int/gho/publications/world-health\_statistics/en/index.html">http://www.who.int/gho/publications/world-health\_statistics/en/index.html</a> .
- 4. Taussky P, Widmer HR, Takala F, Fandino F. Outcome after acute traumatic subdural and epidural haematoma in Switzerland: a single centre experience. 2008;138;281-5. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18491241/
- 5. Bullock MR, Chesnut R, Ghajar J, et al. Surgical management of acute epidural hematomas. Neurosurgery. 2006;58(Suppl): S7-S15. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16710967/
- 6. Adewole DA, Adebayo AM, Udeh EI, Shaahu VN, Dairo MD. Payment for health care and perception of the national health insurance scheme in a rural area in Southwest Nigeria. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2015;93:648–54.doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.14-0245.
- 7. Adewole DA. The impact of political institution and structure on health policy making and implementation: Nigeria as a case study. Afr J Med Med Sci. 2015;44:101–5.https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26548122/
- 8. Kim JY, Chan M. Poverty, health, and societies of the future poverty, health, and societies of the future view point. JAMA. 2013;310:901–2.doi: 10.1001/jama.2013.276910.
- 9. Raykar NP, Bowder AN, Liu C, Vega M, Kim JH, Boye G, et al. Geospatial mapping to estimate timely access to surgical care in nine low-income and middle-income countries. Lancet. 2015;385(Suppl 2):S16.doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(15)60811-X.
- Islam MJ, Saha SK, Elahy MF, Islam KM, Ahamed SU. Factors influencing the outcome of patients with acute extradural haematomas undergoing surgery. Bangladesh

- Journal of Medical Science. 2011;10(2):112-20.https://doi.org/10.3329/bjms.v10i2.7806
- 11. Niaz A, Nasir MH, Niraula K, Majeed S, Neupane J, Ghimire M, Vohra AH. Factors Affecting the Surgical Outcome in Extradural Hematoma in Punjab Institute of Neurosciences, Lahore, Pakistan. Nepal Journal of Neuroscience. 2017 Dec 1;14(3):13-8.https://doi.org/10.3126/njn.v14i3.20519
- 12. Prajapati DV, Shah NJ. Outcome of traumatic extradural hematoma (EDH) using Glasgow outcome scale (GOS). International Surgery Journal. 2018 Sep 25;5(10):3327-34.DOI: 10.18203/2349-2902.isj20184083
- 13. Kumar CS, Prasad KS, Rajasekhar B, Raman BV. A study on various clinical presentations of extradural hemorrhage, factors affecting treatment and early outcome. Int J Res Med Sci. 2017 Apr;5(4):1288-93. https://www.msjonline.org/index.php/ijrms/article/view/2122
- 14. Badhe UR, Bhamre S. Clinical Evaluation and Management Outcome of Extradural Haematoma. MVP Journal of Medical Science. 2018 Aug 17;5(1):49-54. DOI: 10.18311/mypjms/2018/v5i1/11006
- 15. Gutowski P, Meier U, Rohde V, Lemcke J, von der Brelie C. Clinical outcome of epidural hematoma treated surgically in the era of modern resuscitation and trauma care. World neurosurgery. 2018 Oct 1;118:e166-74.doi: 10.1016/j.wneu.2018.06.147.
- 16. Wadd IH, Anwar K, Haroon A, Shams S. Traumatic Extradural Hematoma: Factors Affecting the Surgical Outcome. Pakistan Journal Of Neurological Surgery. 2015 Dec 25;19(4):261-6.
- 17. Dubey A, Pillai SV, Kolluri SV. Does volume of extradural hematoma influence management strategy and outcome?. Neurology India. 2004 Oct 1;52(4):443.
- 18. Anurag P, Rajeev B, Radheyshyam M. Factors affecting outcome in posterior fossa EDH: an analytical study at tertiary referral hospital. Romanian Neurosurgery. 2016 Jun 1;30(2):267-71. DOI: 10.1515/romneu-2016-0041
- 19. Ndoumbe A, Ekeme MV, Simeu C, Takongmo S. Outcome of Surgically Treated Acute Traumatic Epidural Hematomas Based on the Glasgow Coma Scale. Open Journal of Modern Neurosurgery. 2017 Dec 11;8(1):109-18. DOI: 10.4236/ojmn.2018.81009
- 20. Khan MB, Riaz M, Javed G, Hashmi FA, Sanaullah M, Ahmed SI. Surgical management of traumatic extra dural hematoma in children: Experiences and analysis from 24 consecutively treated patients in a developing country. Surgical neurology international. 2013;4.**DOI**:10.4103/2152-7806.116425

